

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,387	09/30/2003	Yih-Yuan Lan	TAIW 172	3747
7:	590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.			FISHMAN, MARINA	
Suite 500 1101 14 Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			2832	
		DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3°CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a veryly be timely filled. If the period for reply seporated under the provisions of 3°CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a veryly be timely filled. If the period for reply seporated under the provisions of 3°CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a veryly be timely filled for reply seporated under the period fill of the period for reply seporated under the period of the		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Marina Fishman - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If he period for reply specified above is less than strip (50) days, a reply within the statutory make an exploration of the period for reply specified above is less than strip (50) days, a reply within the statutory maintenant of they (50) days all accordanced in the period for reply specified above is less than strip (50) days, a reply within the statutory maintenant of they (50) days as the period for reply specified above is less than strip (50) days, a reply within the statutory maintenant of they (50) days as the period for reply specified above is less than strip (50) days, a reply within the statutory maintenant of they (50) days as the period for reply within the statutory maintenant of they (50) days as the replactant to become ASMNONED (30 u.S.C. § 130). Any reply received by the Office later than the replaced and the application. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003. 2a. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0202 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application and or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		10/673,387	LAN ET AL.				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Detendant of term any to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed shall start the statutory of the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed shall start the statutory below the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed shall start the provision of the p	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and STA (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - if the period to reply is a specified show. In an insulation praid of war agrey and will assist by 18(4) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - if the period to reply is a specified show. In an insulation praid of war agrey and will assist by 18(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - if the period to reply is a specified show. In an insulation praid of war agrey and will assist by 18(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any agreement patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited under the provision of 3° CPR 1.316(s). In on event, however, may a reply be simily filled after SIX (g) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any scarled paent tarm adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.794(b). This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Oliciam(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 Is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 Is/are: a)	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to sto. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 7 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 7 Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 7 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 is/are: a) accepted or b) Ø objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Ø All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Ø Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Ø Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Ø Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) Ø Notice of Tortsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Ø Information Disclosue's Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Ø Other: Othe	Status						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are elpected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 is/are: a) accepted or b) Objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some *c None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) and information Discource Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Other: 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: 9 Other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	eptember 2003.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
Al)		•					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/30/2003.	Disposition of Claims						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/2003.	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	vn from consideration.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/2003. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:	Application Papers	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 0203 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	are: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/2003. 6) ☐ Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/2003. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/2003.	Attachment(s)						
		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te				

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

General status

1. This is a First Action on the Merits. Claims 1 - 19 are pending in the case and are being examined.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "U-shaped or an inverse U-shaped path" recited in claims 3 and 13, "a N-shaped or an inverse N-shaped path" recited in claims 5 and 15, "a Z-shaped or an inverse Z-shaped path" as recited in claims 4, and 116 and "a H-shaped path" recited in claims 6 and 17, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Art Unit: 2832

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "53" has been used to designate both "first circuit" and "first line"; and reference character "54" has been used to designate both "second circuit" as well as "second line" (instant specification page 4, lines 15-18). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2832

Claims 1 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claims 1 and 9, lines 2-3, it is not clear what is meant by recitation "positive rotation, reverse rotation or rotation speed". Is the rotation speed associated with the "positive or reverse rotation" or it is independent of the "positive or reverse rotation"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neaves [US 5,644,114].

Neaves discloses a shifting mechanism for electric vehicle mounted on an electric vehicle to couple with a plurality of circuit switches to control switches for positive rotation, reverse rotation and rotation speed comprising:

- an operation bar [18] having a hand grip section [20] at an upper end and a free end [26] on a lower end movable to press one of the circuit switches [14, 16];
- a guiding means [12, 20a] fixedly mounted on the electric
 vehicle for guiding the free end of the operating bar to move
 reciprocally in a first moving path (from position A to B in Figure

Art Unit: 2832

1] and a second moving path [from position A to C] to operate rotation speed control switch [14] and positive rotation or reverse rotation switch [16].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1 8, 10 -19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neaves [US 5,644,114] in view of Rayner [US 3,639,705].

Neaves discloses a shifting mechanism for electric vehicle mounted on an electric vehicle to couple with a plurality of circuit switches to control switches for positive rotation, reverse rotation and rotation speed comprising:

- an operation bar [18] having a hand grip section [20] at an upper end and a free end [26] on a lower end movable to press one of the circuit switches [14, 16];
- a guiding means [12, 20a] for restricting the free end of the operating bar to move reciprocally in a first moving path (from position A to B in Figure 1] and a second moving path [from position A to C] to operate rotation speed control switch [14] and positive rotation or reverse rotation switch [16].

Regarding claim 1 Neaves discloses the instant claimed invention except for the

Art Unit: 2832

operation bar being connected to the electric vehicle by a universal joint. Rayner discloses a switch control bar [10] with a guiding plate [18], a boss [12] and trough arrangement (portion of plate 14) forming a universal joint connected to the switches [36-42]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a universal joint in the operating system of Neaves as taught by Rayner so that more than two switches can be controlled.

Regarding claims 10 – 12 and 19 Neaves discloses the instant claimed invention except for a boss in the operation bar and trough in the guiding means. Rayner, discloses a switch control bar [10] with a guiding plate [18], a boss [12] and trough arrangement (portion of plate 14) forming a universal joint connected to the switches [36-42]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the boss in the operation bar and the trough in the guiding plate in Neaves, as suggested by Rayner, in order to control the movement of the operating bar.

Regarding claims 2 - 6 and 13 -17 Neaves discloses the instant claimed invention except for a bridged connection path (between the first and second connection paths) in various shapes including U-shape, inverse U-shape, Z-shape, inverse Z-shape, N-shape, inverse N-shape. Rayner [Figures 3 and 4] discloses first and second connection paths connected by a bridged connection path resulting in various shapes including U-shape, inverse U-shape, Z-shape, inverse Z-shape, N-shape, inverse N-shape. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have first and second connection paths connected by a bridged connection path resulting in various

Application/Control Number: 10/673,387 Page 7

Art Unit: 2832

shapes including U-shape, inverse U-shape, Z-shape, inverse Z-shape, N-shape, inverse N-shape in Neaves, as suggested by Rayner, in order in order to accommodate layout of the switches. With regard Claims 6 and 17 providing H-shape bridged connection path would have been obvious matter of design choice to accommodate layout of the switches.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaminski et al. [US 4,401,866], Maier et al. [US 5,197,344], Schwartz et al. [US 5,742,014], Vogt et al. [US 4,531,027], and Perego [US 5,173,591], all disclose switch control mechanisms. Applicant also should consider these references in response to this office action. Should issue arise concerning the rejection presented above, these references may be relied upon in a subsequent action to support the lack of novelty or obviousness of claimed subject matter to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Fishman whose telephone number is 571-272-1991. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5 M-T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marina Fishman October 25, 2004

LINCOLT BRHOVAN LINCOLT BRHOVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER